UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,023	04/19/2004	Bruce Blazar	46483-0096	2495
23973	7590 10/15/2007 ODLE & REATH	EXAMINER		
ATTN: INTEL	LECTUAL PROPERTY	MITCHELL, LAURA MCGILLEM		
ONE LOGAN 18TH AND CI	SQUARE HERRY STREETS	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IIA, PA 19103-6996		1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/827,023	BLAZAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Laura M. Mitchell	1636		

	Laura M. Mitchell	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally rei	aatad alaima	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(I 10L-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	uniony union amondment	and danied in ig
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 26-29</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answar not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	·	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
•			
•			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed after final rejection will not be entered because they raise the issue of new matter. Specifically, claim 4 has been amended to recite the phrase "less than 10 microliters of said anti-CD25 magnetic microbeads per 107 total cells". The instant specification does not support any amount less than 10 microliters and only appears to support an amount of 2 microliters per 107 total cells (see paragraph 0185) or 1/5 of the manufacturers recommendation (see paragraph 0088). The claim limitation of less than 10 microliters per 107 total cells encompasses not only 2 microliters but also encompasses 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1 or 0.5 microliters per 107 total cells. These limitations are not supported by the specification and would therefore constitute new matter. In addition, claim 1 has been amended to modify the phrase "lower titer of anti-CD25" to "anti-CD25 magnetic microbeads". By removing the phrase "lower titer" Applicants have broadened the scope of the claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Once a final rejection that is not premature has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. For reasons given above, and on the grounds that the amended claims potentially include new matter, the amended claims have not been entered. The amendments do not place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicant is invited to review MPEP 714.12.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura McGillem whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura McGillem Mitchell, PhD Examiner 10/9/2007

CELINE QIAN, PH.D.